SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**MS 2012/AJEA/1380**
Title of the Manuscript:	***Correlation between Resistance of Eggplant and Microorganisms,Enzyme Aactivities of Soil***
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
General comment:	Good manuscript	
Specific comments:	The author determines the relationship between Microbial quantity and enzyme activities of rhizosphere soil from different resistant eggplant cultivars, and resistance of eggplant to Verticillium wilt. The paper is very intetesting because it defined the relative soil characters for disease resistance identification, and provided theoretical basis for soil environment management.	
Title and abstract	Good	
Introduction	Good	
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	Good	
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	P2,L55, "121.6 ı for 1.5 h," shuold be "121.6 ℃ for 1.5 h" P2,L58, "spores suspension" shuold be "spore suspension" P2,L58, "at the 4 leaves growth stage" shuold be "at the 4-leaf growth stage" P2,L78, "121 ı for 30 min" shuold be "121 ℃ for 30 min" P4, Table1, "K2Cr2O7" shuold be "$K_2Cr_2O_7$" P5, Table1,What is "Имшенецкий's"? P5, Table99, shuoid be "37℃"	All these mistakes have been revised.
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	P6,L106, "were resistant type" shuold be "were resistant types" P8,L138, "(10^6cfu·g-1DM)" shuold be in the note of tha table. P8,L140, There is no "**" in the table.	All these mistakes have been revised.
Conclusion	P10,L164, "not close correlated" shuold be "not closely correlated" P10,L166, "Li (1998)" shuold be "Li et al., (1998)"	All these mistakes have been revised.
References	Good.	